1 (Case called)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Starting with the government, counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. ROOS: Good afternoon, your Honor. Nicolas Roos and Rebekah Donaleski for the United States.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. HARRINGTON: Good afternoon, your Honor. William Harrington and Jeff Marcus for Mr. Correia who is also with us.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Marcus -- we have not yet submitted pro hac vice papers for him. Mr. Correia just arrived from outside of the United States yesterday morning. So we're still in the process of sorting through all of that.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Thank you.

MR. LEFCOURT: Good afternoon, your Honor. Gerald

Lefcourt and Faith Friedman for Audrey Kukushkin. We also,

your Honor, just started in the case yesterday. So we have not

worked out all of our arrangements, but we have come to a bail

package.

THE COURT: That's what I understand. Thank you.

Just so everybody is clear, when you work out pro hac vice and everything, please make sure you have appeared. I know at least one of you has appeared on ECF. Make sure you appear so you get all the notices and everything.

We're here for an arraignment on the indictment in

2.3

this case, and I understand we'll address bail as well as to Mr. Kukushkin.

Two of the four defendants are present today, and this is their first appearance before me. The charges in this case are set forth in a four-count indictment. And Mr. Correia and Mr. Kukushkin are charged in one of those counts, Count Four.

Let me start with Mr. Roos or Ms. Donaleski. I want to start with either of you telling me about the timing of the defendants' arrests and confirm that they've had their initial appearances and presentments.

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor. So Mr. Kukushkin was arrested last week on Wednesday. He was presented the next day in the Northern District of California where his terms of release were initially set.

Mr. Correia surrendered to the U.S. authorities yesterday at the airport. And he was presented yesterday in this district before a magistrate judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you want to go ahead and address the bail issue. Bail has been set as to Mr. Correia.

Do you want to address bail as to Mr. Kukushkin.

MR. ROOS: Certainly, your Honor. As your Honor noted, bail was set yesterday for Mr. Correia before a U.S. magistrate judge. The terms are on the docket now. I'm happy to go through them, but they're otherwise set. And I don't

think we need to address them further, unless your Honor wants to.

As for Mr. Kukushkin, the parties have reached an agreement as to bail to be entered in the Southern District of New York. As you know, it was previously set in the Northern District of California.

Our understanding is that the defendant has not yet met with pretrial services here in New York. He'll go to pretrial services immediately after this proceeding.

But the terms of the bail are: First, a \$1,000,000 bond which is secured by \$100,000 in U.S. currency which was previously posted by the defendant and will be transferred to the Southern District; and by \$900,000 in property which will be secured by a property in Livermore, California.

He's released on his own signature today. He has three financially responsible persons as cosigners, and those cosigners will be interviewed and signed by October 24, which is a week from today.

Supervised release on pretrial release will be out of the Northern District of California. Supervision will be as directed with home detention and GPS monitoring. He may leave for work, legal visits, medical visits, and other travel with pre-approval by pretrial services.

His trial will be restricted to the Northern District of California, the Central District of California, the Southern

2.3

- District of California, the Southern District of New York, and any points in between as necessary to transport him between the Northern District of California and the Southern District of New York.
- He will surrender his travel documents and make no new applications, although I will note that he already surrendered his two passports. Then finally, he will have no contact with his codefendants outside of counsel.
- May I just note, your Honor, the cash has already been posted. The property will be secured by October 31.
- THE COURT: Mr. Lefcourt, you're in agreement with those bail conditions?
- MR. LEFCOURT: Yes, your Honor. We worked them out yesterday, last night, and this morning. But they're finally worked out.
- THE COURT: Okay. I approve those bail conditions, and bail will be set as indicated by Mr. Roos.
- With respect to Mr. Correia, is there anything you need to address in terms of bail or anything?
  - MR. HARRINGTON: There is not, your Honor.
  - THE COURT: Thank you.
- Just for the record, I've scheduled the arraignment of the other two defendants, Mr. Parnas and Mr. Fruman, for next Wednesday, October 23, at 11:30 a.m.
  - Mr. Roos or Mr. Donaleski, is there anything you can

tell me right now about the current status of the two codefendants?

MR. ROOS: Certainly, your Honor.

2.3

So Mr. Fruman was released from custody yesterday evening, and he'll be here on Wednesday of next week for his arraignment. Mr. Parnas is still in custody in the Eastern District of Virginia. He has not satisfied the bail conditions that were previously set.

THE COURT: What's the status of getting him here for the arraignment?

MR. ROOS: So, your Honor, my understanding is that a defendant who has had bail terms set in the Eastern District of Virginia will not be transported by the marshals, absent revocation of the bail or an order by a court.

So Mr. Parnas will stay in the Eastern District until either he meets his bail conditions, he consents to revocation of his move, or if the Court were to order his removal from the Eastern District to the Southern District of New York by the U.S. Marshals.

THE COURT: First of all, has he retained counsel?

MR. ROOS: Yes.

THE COURT: I gather he's in the process of trying to meet the bail conditions.

Is that right?

MR. ROOS: That's our understanding.

2.3

THE COURT: The only reason I would hesitate to issue an order right away directing his presence here would be because I don't want to compromise his ability to meet any bail conditions, given that he should have a reasonable opportunity to do that.

However, if there's a point where it becomes unreasonable, I'll rely on the government to ask for an order directing his appearance here, if you think it's appropriate. At this point, you're not asking for that?

MR. ROOS: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Can we proceed to the arraignment on the indictment?

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Starting with Mr. Correia, Mr. Correia, are you able to speak and understand English?

DEFENDANT CORREIA: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I'm judge Paul Oetken. I'm a

United States district judge, and this case has been assigned
to me. You've been named in an indictment charging you with
certain federal crimes.

Have you received a copy of the indictment with the charges against you?

DEFENDANT CORREIA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had a chance to read the indictment and discuss it with your lawyer?

indictment at this time?

1 DEFENDANT KUKUSHKIN: Yes.

2.3

THE COURT: How do you wish to plead? Guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT KUKUSHKIN: Not guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.

Pleas of not guilty will be entered as to the two defendants.

Before we address scheduling of further proceedings,
I'd just like to ask counsel for the government if they could
briefly describe the categories and volume of discovery to be
produced or anything else you'd like to add about the case.

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor. So the discovery in this case is fairly, fairly voluminous. It includes approximately ten search warrant applications and email and other electronic records obtained pursuant to search warrants for over ten accounts which encompasses multiple gigabytes' worth of electronic data.

The discovery will also include financial records for over 50 bank accounts, again, fairly voluminous in amount, as well as records from a number of third parties that either have been produced or are continuing to be produced. So the government's intention is to begin to produce discovery on a rolling basis.

As your Honor heard, the defense attorneys in this case are continuing to come in. We haven't had an opportunity

2.3

to discuss a protective order. But our intention is to, shortly after this conference, discuss a protective order.

Once we have a protective order in place, we'll start making rolling productions of discovery. We anticipate that will continue to go on for some time as the government continues to receive records or electronics, information from electronics are extracted.

THE COURT: So we're not in a position to say that discovery will be completely produced in 45 days or anything like that? Is that right?

MR. ROOS: I don't believe so, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you anticipate any superseding indictments at this point?

MR. ROOS: I think it's fair to characterize the government's investigation as ongoing, no decision about any type of additional charges.

That, of course, is something in the event -- in the instance where your Honor sets a trial date, we of course would make any superseding decisions with sufficient time prior to the trial date so that the defendants can have notice.

THE COURT: Thank you.

The next thing for scheduling would be either to set a motion schedule, which sometimes I anticipate when discovery will be produced and defendants and defense counsel will have had a chance to review it to see whether they anticipate any

motions, then I would schedule that.

In a case like this where two of the defendants haven't yet been arraigned, haven't yet appeared in this court, and where the production of discovery is indeterminate, it might make sense for all the parties to set a conference in a period of time, perhaps when all four defendants will be present. And then we'll be able to talk about motions and address any issues with regard to discovery.

So I'd like to hear from all the parties, assuming that's the right way to go, as to what the appropriate time would be for another conference.

MR. ROOS: Well, your Honor, for the government, we think that is a prudent way to proceed here. Our thought is perhaps if we were to set a conference roughly 30 days or a little bit more out from today's date, which will be a month from next week's arraignment, that will allow sufficient time for us to have a protective order in place and start producing, among other things, the search warrant applications that might inform motion practice in this case.

By setting a conference maybe 30 days out, it would also mean that we can give your Honor an update on how much discovery has been produced, how much is still outstanding, which I think would put all the parties in a better position to set real deadlines for the case.

THE COURT: Defense counsel, would you like to address

1 | that timing issue?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. LEFCOURT: I think that's fine, your Honor. I might suggest a little further than that. Considering the fact that I'm informed that the discovery may take us two to four months to review, somewhere in that vicinity — so it must be very voluminous, and it's going to be on a rolling basis — maybe by the first or second week of December, they might have made discovery.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrington?

MR. HARRINGTON: Either of those proposals seem reasonable.

THE COURT: What about the first week of December right after Thanksgiving? Is that doable?

MR. ROOS: That's fine, your Honor.

MR. HARRINGTON: For that week, I'm just traveling much of the week. December 2, Monday works, or the following week.

THE COURT: Is there anybody for whom Monday, December 2, would not work?

MR. ROOS: That's fine for the government.

THE COURT: Is that okay?

MR. LEFCOURT: Yes, your Honor. I'm just thinking it may be prudent that Defendant Kukushkin not have to travel across the country for a discovery conference.

Would your Honor be inclined to waive his presence?

1 THE COURT: Any objection by the government? 2 (Government counsel conferred) 3 MR. ROOS: Your Honor, I think it is helpful to have 4 the defendant show up in court sometimes, just so that way he's 5 both seen in the court -- obviously I think that's a helpful 6 measure in terms of securing his appearance. We obviously will 7 have the bail conditions in place. 8 We don't have a specific objection to this instance, although we think it may be helpful for him to come some of the 9 times. If the defense is waiving his presence for the next 10 11 conference, we don't have an objection to that. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. LEFCOURT: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Just to be clear, Mr. Kukushkin, you have 15 a right to be in court to be present for every single 16 proceeding that happens in the case, whether it's about 17 discovery or setting a schedule or whatever. 18 But if you talk to your lawyer and you decide to waive 19 an appearance, that will be okay in certain circumstances. 20 you choose to waive the appearance on December 2, after talking 21 to your counsel, that's fine. All right? 22 DEFENDANT KUKUSHKIN: Thank you, your Honor. 2.3 MR. LEFCOURT: Thank you, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: That's the same with the other defendant.

MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you, your Honor.

25

1 | THE COURT: So December 2.

2.3

What time works for you? I may be picking a jury that day. I can step out and come to this courtroom to do it I believe.

MR. HARRINGTON: Afternoon would be better, but we can be flexible.

THE COURT: How about 2:00?

MR. HARRINGTON: That's fine.

THE COURT: So the next conference will be December 2, 2019, at  $2:00~\mathrm{p.m.}$ 

It may be in this courtroom. I'll let you all know at least on the docket where it's going to be because I'm not sure who has reserved this courtroom. Assume, if you don't hear otherwise, it will be here in courtroom 318.

And then at that point, I'll get an update. Hopefully all four defendants will be present, and I'll get an update on the production of discovery. If we're in a position to set a schedule for any motions, we'll go ahead and address that, as well as potentially a trial date, depending on how far along we are. So December 2, 2:00.

Is there anything else anybody needed to address today?

MR. LEFCOURT: No, your Honor.

MR. ROOS: Just from the government, the government would move to exclude time between today's date and December 2,